

Adjustment in Local Labour and Housing Markets

Arthur Grimes, David C Maré, Melanie Morten

Motu Working Paper 07-10 Motu Economic and Public Policy Research

August 2007

Author contact details

Arthur Grimes Motu Economic and Public Policy Research PO Box 24390 Wellington <u>Arthur.grimes@motu.org.nz</u>

Dave Maré Motu Economic and Public Policy Research PO Box 24390 Wellington Dave.Mare@motu.org.nz

Melanie Morten Motu Economic and Public Policy Research PO Box 24390 Wellington

Acknowledgements

This paper forms part of the 'Understanding Adjustment and Inequality' research programme funded by the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology, to whom we are grateful for funding. We thank Steven Stillman, Bob Buckle and other participants at a Motu seminar and the 2007 New Zealand Association of Economists conference for many helpful comments. We also thank Andrew McLaren at Statistics New Zealand for access to the HLFS and QES data, and QVNZ for access to house price data. Any views expressed are the sole responsibility of the authors.

Motu Economic and Public Policy Research PO Box 24390 Wellington New Zealand

Emailinfo@motu.org.nzTelephone+64-4-939-4250Websitewww.motu.org.nz

© 2007 Motu Economic and Public Policy Research Trust. All rights reserved. No portion of this paper may be reproduced without permission of the authors. Motu Working Papers are research materials circulated by their authors for purposes of information and discussion. They have not necessarily undergone formal peer review or editorial treatment. ISSN 1176-2667.

Abstract

This paper analyses local labour and hosuing market adjustment in New Zealand from 1989 to 2006. We use a VAR approach to examine the adjustment of employment, employment rate, participation rate, wages, and house prices in response to employment shocks. Migration is a major adjustment response at both a national and regional level. Nationally, a 1% positive employment shock leads to a long-run level of employment 1.3% higher, with half of the extra jobs filled by migrants. A 1% region-specific employment shock raises the long-run regional share of employment by 0.5 percentage points, due entirely to in-migration. House price responses differ at different spatial scales. Nationally, house prices are very responsive to employment shocks: a 1% employment shock raising long run house prices by 6%, as may be expected with an upward sloping housing supply curve. Paradoxically, this relationship does not hold at the regional level.

JEL classification

R23 - Regional Migration; Regional Labour Markets; Population

J61 - Geographic Labour Mobility; Immigrant Workers

C33 – Models with Panel Data

Keywords

Regional Labour Market Adjustment; Internal Migration; House Prices; Vector Autoregression

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Related Studies	2
3	Data	5
	3.1 National Adjustment	7
	3.2 Regional Adjustment	.10
4	Methodology	.14
	4.1 Univariate processes	.14
	4.2 Model specification	.15
5	Results	.17
	5.1 5 variable VAR: Shock to employment	.18
6	A VECM model?	.21
7	Conclusion	.22

Table of figures

Figure 1: Graph of Migration and House Price Growth	3
Figure 2: Impulse response function: national 1% employment shock	8
Figure 3: Regional heterogeneity: North Auckland and Southland	12
Figure 4: Change in house prices against change in employment	13
Figure 5: IRF from a positive 1% employment shock	19

Tables

Table 1: Population response: national employment shock	9
Table 2: Growth in variables over the period 1989-2006	10
Table 3: Pairwise correlation of growth rates	11
Table 4: Summary of individual unit root tests	15
Table 5: Summary of IRF coefficients	19
Table 6: Implied human impact of the IRF: regional employment shock	20

1 Introduction

This paper examines regional adjustment in New Zealand over the period 1989 to 2006. The fortunes of New Zealand's regions have differed greatly over this time: areas such as Auckland and Canterbury have had employment growth above the national average, whereas areas such as Waikato and Southland have had growth below the national average. We investigate the dynamics of how regions adjust to local employment shocks by estimating a panel vector autoregression (VAR) model.

In line with other research, we find that migration is a major adjustment response to employment shocks at both a national and regional level. However, the pattern of adjustment varies at different spatial scales. Nationally, a 1% positive employment shock leads to a long-run level of employment 1.3% higher, with approximately half of these extra jobs filled by migrants. In contrast, a 1% region-specific shock causes the long-run regional share of employment to be 0.5% higher, with the adjustment to the employment shock entirely explained by migration into the region. We uncover a paradox in the relationship between employment and house prices at different spatial scales. Nationally, house prices are very responsive to employment shocks: a 1% employment shock causes house prices to be 6% higher in the long run, as may be expected with an upward sloping housing supply curve. However, this relationship does not hold at the regional level.

We uncover a paradox between employment and house prices. Nationally, house prices are very responsive to employment shocks: a 1% employment shock causes house prices to be 6% higher in the long run, as may be expected with an upward sloping housing supply curve. However, there is very little adjustment to house prices at the regional level, despite substantial inmigration to the region in response to the employment shock.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section Two briefly reviews the literature on regional adjustment. Section Three discusses the data sources used in the estimation of the VAR model, and discusses national adjustment. Section Four lays outs the methodology employed to specify the structure of the model. We examine the regional adjustment process in Section Five by analysing the impulse response functions of the VAR. We consider the possibility of cointegration between employment and house prices in Section Six, and then briefly conclude.

2 Related Studies

There are several possible channels of response to a positive employment shock: the unemployment rate may decrease, the participation rate may increase as individuals choose to enter the labour force, the wage rate may rise to clear the labour market, or individuals may move into the region. This paper considers an additional key variable in the adjustment process: house prices. If housing has an upward sloping supply curve, changes in demand for housing (such as would arise from an inflow of migrants in response to favourable employment conditions) will have an impact on house prices, affecting the cost of living in a region. This effect may influence decisions about migration into and out of a region.

The relationship between house prices and net migration is a topical issue in New Zealand. House price inflation is a significant current concern for macroeconomic policy and migration flows are often seen as a driver of this key component of inflation. Although we briefly overview the national patterns, this paper primarily examines regional labour market adjustment in New Zealand. We employ a methodology adopted by Blanchard and Katz in their seminal paper, 'Regional Evolutions' (1992). Blanchard and Katz analyse regional adjustment at the US state-level by constructing regionally differenced variables, defined as the nominal regional value relative to the nominal national mean. Using US data between 1950 and 1990, they find that the dominant adjustment mechanism to an employment shock is labour mobility – in the first year after a negative employment shock of 100 workers unemployment increases by 30 workers, participation declines by 5 workers, and there is net migration out of the region of 65 workers. Five to seven years after the shock, the employment response is consists entirely of migration out of the region (Blanchard and Katz (1992)).

Figure 1 shows a graph of house price growth and net national migration flows over the period 1991-2004 (Source: RBNZ (2004) :14). We find a similar relationship in our analysis in the following section, although we find that migration and house prices move together at least partly because they both respond to employment demand shocks: a positive employment shock at the national level results in increased migration, and in increased house prices.

Although we briefly overview the national patterns, this paper primarily examines regional labour market adjustment in New Zealand. We employ a methodology adopted by Blanchard and Katz in their seminal paper, 'Regional Evolutions'(1992). Blanchard and Katz analyse regional adjustment at the US state-level by constructing regionally differenced variables, defined as the nominal regional value relative to the nominal national mean¹. Using US data between 1950 and 1990, they find that the dominant adjustment mechanism to an employment shock is labour mobility – in the first year after a negative employment shock of 100 workers unemployment increases by 30 workers, participation declines by 5 workers, and there is net migration out of the region of 65 workers. Five to seven years after the shock, the employment response is consists entirely of migration out of the region (Blanchard and Katz (1992)).





¹ Note that this means that the regionally differenced variables are real.

A similar methodology has been applied to regional studies in many countries. Decressin and Fatas (1995) studied regional labour market dynamics in Europe, finding the main adjustment response in the first three years of a labour demand shock was through changes to the participation rate. Mauro and Spilimbergo (1998) study regional labour adjustment for Spain, differentiating between high skilled and low skilled workers. They find that the response differs between these two groups: highly skilled workers migrate, while low skilled workers leave the labour force or become unemployed. Debelle and Vickery (1999) look at adjustment between labour markets in Australia. Using Australian states as their level of analysis, they find that a 1% change to employment causes a 0.31% change in the working age population of the region. Debelle and Vickery estimate a model that includes house price adjustment, and find that while house prices drop in response to a negative employment shock, including house prices does not affect the adjustment path of the other variables.

The New Zealand case was examined by Choy et al (2002) who found a strong migration response to a region-specific employment shock. A temporary negative employment shock of 100 people causes approximately 71 people to migrate out of the region in the initial period of the shock. The long-run impact of the shock depends on whether employment is modelled as a stationary variable or difference-stationary variable. However, in both cases migration is the sole response to the employment shock six years after the shock².

A key difference between these regional studies is the average size of the regions analysed. For the US studies, the average regional population is 5.3 million people, and the average size of a region in European studies is 6.8 million. Australia states have a mean population of approximately 2.3 million people. However, the average NZ region is much smaller, around 320,000 people (Choy et al (2002)). Given the regional size differences, people may be more likely to leave a region in New Zealand in search of work rather than to be able to find other employment opportunities within a region. Choy et al. find that the NZ migration response, although much bigger than that of the US or Australia, is close to that found for Sweden in a study by Fredriksson (1999) that examines adjustment within regions of approximately the same size.

We build on previous New Zealand work examining labour market adjustment (Choy et al (2002)). Firstly, we include house prices in the model, and consider how house prices interact with labour market adjustment. There is overseas evidence that relative house prices have a direct effect on migration (Meen (2001)). In the New Zealand context, Grimes and Aitken find a strong correlation between regional population and house prices (Grimes and Aitken (2004)). Secondly, we use a more functional unit of regional aggregation than administratively-defined regional councils. Thirdly, we extend the time period analysed, covering the period 1989 to 2006.

3 Data

Data on employment, working age population, and labour force^{3,4} for each of our fifteen regions⁵ were obtained from the *Household Labour Force Survey*, on a quarterly basis from 1986q1 to 2006q2. We restrict the age range of individuals included to 20-64 years. Wage data⁶ were sourced from *the Quarterly Employment Survey* for our fifteen regions, covering the period 1989q1 to 2006q1. Prior to 1999, the survey included only firms with at least 2.5 full time employees

 $^{^{2}}$ If employment share is modelled as a difference-stationary variable, the migration response six years after the shock is 42 people. If employment share is modelled as a stationary variable, migration response six years after the shock is 3 people.

³ Employment is defined by the HLFS as respondents who had: worked for one hour or more or worked without pay for an hour or more in a business owned or operated by a relative. The working age population is defined as the total usually resident, non-institutionalised, civilian population of New Zealand. The labour force is defined as members of the working age population who are classified as either employed or unemployed. Unemployed is defined as persons in the working age population without a paid job who were actively looking for work

⁴ The HLFS is a sample survey. Individuals have weights applied to them to provide figures representative of the whole population. The benchmark population of a region is based on the most recent census count, which is updated to reflect quarterly changes by accounting for natural increases and internal migration (using symptomatic population series such as residential building consents and electoral enrolments). HLFS respondents have weights applied so that population estimates match the national population by age and sex.

⁵ There are several caveats associated with the HLFS data. Firstly, the regions we request differ than those the official HLFS statistics are released at. There are no intra-regional weights applied to local regions, hence demographic changes in one part of a region show up as changes to the population. The second issue is that there were changes to the frequency of rotation groups in the HLFS: in 1998q3 the rotation increased to 2/8 from 1/8. This may have caused sudden changes to population in certain regions until the primary sampling units were adjusted.

(FTE). This was adjusted in 1999q3 to include small business. To improve comparability between the two periods we restrict the wage date to include wages only from firms with at least 2.5 FTE. In addition, we follow Choy et al (2002) and impose a restriction that the change in wage rate for each region between 1999q3 and 1999q4 is equal to zero.

House price data are sourced from Quotable Value New Zealand. The data series are released at territorial authority (TA) level on a quarterly basis⁷. The house price per region is calculated by weighting median sales prices for each component TA by 2006 population weights, in order to remove seasonal and/or cyclical house sale trends.

The regions used in this paper are groupings of territorial authorities (TAs), approximately replicating groupings of labour market areas (LMAs)⁸. Because LMAs are defined by actual labour market behaviour of individuals, they are a more functional regional unit compared with other areas, such as administratively defined regional councils. We use quarterly house price data that is released at TA level. There is not a perfect match between LMAs and TAs (Grimes et al (2006)). Based on a match quality analysis in Grimes et al (2006), the best match to minimise the error between LMAs and TAs is to group TAs into fifteen areas. The primary difference between these regions and regional councils is that the larger areas, Auckland and Wellington, are separated into sub-areas that differentiate between distinct labour markets in these areas. Appendix 1 contains the TA composition of the fifteen regions we use for this paper.

To analyse region-specific changes we transform the raw data from region i at time t into log-differenced variables from the national mean at time t, following Blanchard and Katz (1992); that is:

⁶ Average Ordinary Time Hourly Earnings is ordinary time earnings divided by ordinary time paid hours. Paid travelling time and hours represented by holiday pay and sick pay are included.

⁷ We drop the observations with the highest 1% and lowest 1% of median sales price to median government valuation ratio from our analysis. The median sales price should be close to the mean government valuation.

⁸ Labour Market Areas (LMAs) are areas defined by an algorithm such that most people who live in the area also work in the area. Migration out of a LMA is usually associated with a change of job (Mare and Timmins (2003)).

$$\begin{split} wage_{i,t} &= \ln(\frac{average \ ord \ hourly \ earnings_{i,t}}{average \ ord \ hourly \ earnings_{nat,t}}) \\ emp_{i,t} &= \ln(\frac{employment_{i,t}}{employment_{nat,t}}) \\ er_{i,t} &= \ln(\frac{employment \ rate_{i,t}}{employment \ rate_{nat,t}}), \\ where \ employment \ rate_{i,t} &= \frac{emp_{i,t}}{labour \ force_{i,t}}. \\ pr_{i,t} &= \ln(\frac{participation \ rate_{i,t}}{participation \ rate_{nat,t}}), \\ where \ participation \ rate_{i,t} &= \frac{labour \ force_{i,t}}{working \ age \ population_{i,t}}. \end{split}$$

3.1 National Adjustment

Before examining the region-specific adjustment process, we examine the interaction between national-level variables. We estimate a vector autoregression model for the five variables, all in natural logarithms: employment, employment rate, participation rate⁹, wages, and house prices. This model is developed in more depth in Section 4. We assume that employment has a contemporaneous effect on all variables, and house price has a contemporaneous effect on employment rate, participation rate, and wages. Unit root tests suggest that all the national level variables are 1(1), so all variables are entered in firstdifferenced form.

We introduce a positive employment shock into the system and examine the impulse response functions (IRFs), converted to levels, of each of the variables in the system. The migration IRF is derived from the other aggregates¹⁰. The IRFs are shown in Figure 2.

⁹ Employment rate is defined an the number of employed divided by the labour force. Participation rate is defined as the size of the labour force divided by the size of the working age population.
¹⁰ For full details, see Section Five.



Figure 2: Impulse response function: national 1% employment shock

In the long run, a 1% positive employment shock causes national employment to be 1.3% higher than in the absence of the shock. Wages adjust slightly, but slowly: ten periods after the shock wages are 0.06% higher, and settle to be 0.38% higher in the long run. There are initial increases in the participation rate and employment rates: the employment rate increases by 0.29 percentage points in the period of the shock; the participation rate increases by 0.48 percentage points. In the long run, the employment rate is 0.17 percentage points higher, and the participation rate 0.34 percentage points higher than they would have been in the absence of the shock. Migration, defined as the change in working age population due to the shock, increases steadily, and in the long run working age population has increased by 0.73%. There is a substantial house price adjustment: house prices do not move much in the first four periods of the shock, consistent with the gradual response of international migration, but then increase to be almost 6% higher in the long-run.

Table 1 converts the IRF responses in Figure 2 into implied 'person counts', in which the initial employment shock raises employment in New

Zealand by 100 jobs. The table shows that in the long run there are 140 new jobs created as a result of the initial shock, with over half of these jobs filled by migrants. 22 people are employed who would have otherwise been unemployed, and 45 people have chosen to enter the labour force. The second part of the table shows the total migration response. In the initial period of the shock working age population increases by 8 people, and of these 8, 6 are expected to be employed, and 2 are not expected to participate in the labour force. These people could be non-working partners or family members who are migrating with someone who has a job. The migration response increases over the periods following the shock. Six years after the shock there are 100 extra migrants in New Zealand: 73 of these are employed (this is equivalent to the migration figure of the upper panel), 22 are not in the labour force, and 5 are unemployed.

National shock to employment	Initial	1 quarter	1 year	4 years	6 years
A. Net impact of change in employment due to:	Junior	unter	41101	uitei	unter
Working age population Employment rate	6 32 62	16 17 47	32 39 56	68 35 47	73 22 45
Employment response to shock	100	81	127	150	140
B. Migration's impact on:					
Employment Unemployment Non-labour force participants	6 0 2	16 1 5	32 2 10	68 4 21	73 5 22
Migration response to shock	8	22	45	<i>93</i>	100

 Table 1: Population response: national employment shock

At the national level, the initial employment shock has a long-run multiplier effect; the initial 1% shock results in 1.4% more jobs in the long run. Three types of people fill these new jobs: migrants, individuals who would have otherwise been unemployed, and individuals who would have otherwise not been participating in the labour force. There is a strong house price response to the employment shock. This is as expected: given an upward sloping supply curve for housing, an increase in (domestic and migrant) housing demand should increase house prices.

The remainder of the paper focuses on patterns of adjustment to employment shocks at a smaller spatial scale, revealing patterns of regional adjustment that contrast with what is observed nationally.

3.2 Regional Adjustment

Regions in New Zealand have fared very differently over the time period analysed; some regions have flourished while others have struggled. Table 2 shows the mean growth rate¹¹ and the extremes over the fifteen regions. Employment rate growth and participation rate growth are measured in percentage point changes. This table shows the range in the regional fortunes over the period: Canterbury has had the strongest employment growth, as well as the strongest labour force and working age population growth. The wage rate grew most in Wellington West, and least in the Bay of Plenty. Gisborne/Hawke's Bay region had the largest growth in participation rate, of 9.5 percentage points.

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum
Employment	33.6%	2.4%	64.3%
		Waikato	Canterbury
Employment rate	3.9%	1.4%	7.1%
		North Auckland	Taranaki
Participation rate	5.6%	-1.0%	9.5%
		Auckland City	Gisborne/ Hawkes' Bay
Wage	51.1%	41.0%	61.8%
		Bay of Plenty	Wellington West
House price	193.8%	109.6%	265.6%
		Manawatu	Otago
Labour force	28.4%	-1.6%	57.8%
		Waikato	Canterbury
Working age population	19.5%	-8.6%	41.6%
		Waikato	Canterbury

Table 2: Growth in variables over the period 1989-2006

Changes are percentage change between mean of first 8 quarters and last 8 quarters of full data sample

er and pr are percentage point difference, calculated by subtraction

Table 3 shows the pairwise correlations between the growth rates. Note that this table only shows the static change between the two end-periods of the

sample. We will develop a richer model of the dynamics by modelling the VAR system in the following section. Two stories seem to emerge from this table. The first story is essentially one of movement of people: regions with high employment growth also had high growth in labour force (correlation=0.99) and high growth in working age population (correlation=0.91). Note that there is only a very small correlation between house prices and employment (correlation=0.02). A second story is evident from the correlation between employment rate and house price (correlation=0.53). If house prices are high, people may be deterred from moving into a region even if there are jobs available. As a result, the participation rate and employment rate may rise as individuals who already live in the region become employed. The VAR modelling in Section 4 looks at the dynamic relationship over time of these variables.

		Employmer	nt Participation				Working age
	Employment	rate	rate	Wage	House price	Labour force	population
Employment	1						
Employment rate	-0.1005	1					
Participation rate	-0.0642	0.6221	1				
Wage	0.2425	-0.4278	-0.5699	1			
House price	0.0223	0.5311	0.2825	-0.0177	1		
Labour force	0.9915	-0.2286	-0.1449	0.2918	-0.0471	1	
Working age population	0.9102	-0.4125	-0.4596	0.4443	-0.1353	0.9449	1

Table 3: Pairwise correlation of growth ra
--

See notes on previous table

n=15 for each cell

Figure 3 shows North Auckland¹² and Southland over the time period analysed. The left hand axis shows the actual value of the variable, and the right hand scale shows the relative measure of the variable. North Auckland is a region that has prospered: employment growth was 44% over the period, above the national average of 34%. As a result, North Auckland's relative employment share has increase over the period. Southland has not been as fortunate: Southland had an average rate of employment growth half that of North Auckland, at 20%, and less than the national mean.

¹¹ The percentage change is calculated by the percentage change between the mean of the first eight quarters and the last eight quarters in the sample for each region in order to reduce the influence of quarter-to-quarter volatility.

¹² North Auckland consists of Rodney, North Shore, and Waitakere Districts.







A similar pattern holds for house prices. North Auckland's house prices have increased over the period, leading to a slight overall increase in North Auckland's relative house price. Southland's house prices have grown 145% over the period, below the mean national growth rate of 194%, contributing to a decreasing relative house price compared to the rest of the country.

An innovation of this paper is to include house prices in the model for labour market adjustment. *A priori*, an upward sloping supply curve for housing predicts that changes to housing demand, such as may result from increases in employment, will lead to increases in house prices. Figure 4 graphs the relationship between the change in house price and change in employment using regionally defined variables¹³. The circles in the graph are weighted by 2006 population counts and a non-parametric regression line is fitted.



Figure 4: Change in house prices against change in employment

 $^{^{13}}$ The graph looks at the change in house prices using the mean house price over the period 1981q1-1989q4 as the initial value. The relationship between house price growth and employment growth varies slightly by the initial period used – a graph of house price change from 1989 to 2006 has a smaller slope; a graph examining change from 1995-2006 a slightly steeper slope.

4 Methodology

This section details the specification of the model used to analyse regional adjustment. We first consider the univariate properties of the data series by performing unit root tests. We then examine the contemporaneous correlation between the variables to specify the lag structure of the VAR model. Once we have identified the model, we estimate it and then analyse the impulse response functions arising from a region-specific positive employment shock.

4.1 Univariate processes

Unit root tests are performed on the five log differenced data series entering the VAR. We include specifications both with and without a time trend, and consider the order of integration of the series at both the individual region level and the panel level.

Table 4 summarises the results from ADF and PP individual unit root tests, run at a regional level. The table gives the number of regions for which the null can be rejected at a 10% and a 1% level, out of a total of 15 regions. The full unit root results are provided in Appendix 2. House price appears to be non-stationary; employment rate, participation rate, and wage appear stationary; employment is unclear, but we treat it as nonstationary. The results of two panel unit root tests are reported in Appendix 3; the interpretation of these tests depends crucially on the assumption regarding a deterministic trend in the data.

Due to the conflicting results between the panel unit root tests and the individual unit root tests, we rely primarily on the individual region ADF tests. Based on these tests, we characterise regionally log-differenced employment and house prices as I(1) variables, and enter them in the VAR in first differences. The regionally log-differenced employment rate, participation rate and wage variables are characterised as I(0) and are entered in levels.

Number of rejections out of 15 regions using 10% level of significance						
		ADF		РР		
	Null	: Unit root	Null: Unit root			
	Trend	No trend	Trend	No trend		
emp	9	10	4	12		
d_emp	15	15	15	15		
er	15	15	14	15		
d_er	15	15	15	15		
hp	2	6	2	3		
d_hp	15	15	15	15		
pr	15	14	10	15		
d_pr	15	15	15	15		
wage	14	14	8	15		
d_wage	15	15	15	15		

Table 4: Summary of individual unit root tests

Number of rejections out of 15 regions using 1% level of significance

		ADF		PP
	Null: Unit root		Null	: Unit root
	Trend	No trend	Trend	No trend
emp	3	3	3	6
d_emp	15	15	15	15
er	12	13	11	13
d_er	15	15	15	15
hp	0	0	0	3
d_hp	15	15	15	15
pr	12	8	5	14
d_pr	15	15	15	15
wage	11	7	5	13
d_wage	15	15	15	15

4.2 Model specification

Blanchard and Katz (1992) argue that labour market shocks are the result of shocks to labour demand. This assumption leads to a structural VAR where employment affects current participation rate and employment rate, but employment rate and participation rate do not have a contemporaneous impact on employment. This is the same structure adapted by Choy et al (2002) and other papers using this methodology¹⁴.

¹⁴ An alternative panel VAR approach, used by Love and Zicchino (2002) is to take the forward mean differencing (Helmert differencing) of the variables to remove the region-specific fixed effects. After consideration we opted not to use this approach as it removes the effects of a permanent shock and therefore only examines short-run dynamics.

We examine whether this assumption holds for our data by analysing the error-covariance matrix from an unrestricted VAR. We estimate the reduced form VAR for our system of five variables where each variable is a function of the past four lags of itself and the other four variables: $\Gamma(L)y_t = u + \varepsilon_t$. $\Gamma(L)$ is the lag operator of degree 4, y_t is a (5 x 1) vector of regressors, u is a constant and ε_t is a vector of non-autocorrelated disturbances with zero mean. Estimation is by seemingly unrelated regression (SUR).

Appendix 4 reports the Cholesky decomposition of the error covariance matrix for this system. The Cholesky decomposition analyses the contemporaneous correlations between the error terms from each equation in the system. The first entry in each matrix has been normalised to 0.01. Table 1 and Table 2 examine the correlation between employment and house prices residuals, switching the ordering of the first variable. There is very little contemporaneous correlation between employment and house prices. This suggests that a shock to employment is orthogonal to house prices in the initial period. Table 1, Table 3, and Table 4 shed light on the dynamics between employment, employment rate, and participation rate, the three labour market variables in the system. If employment is ordered first in the system, there is very little correlation with employment rate or with participation rate. However, if either employment rate or participation rate are ordered first, there is a strong correlation with employment. This suggests that labour market shocks are best characterised as shocks to employment.

We assume that employment affects the employment rate, participation rate, wage rate, and house price contemporaneously. House prices are an asset price and should jump in response to shocks. We therefore assume that house price has a contemporaneous effect on variables other than employment. The system in full is¹⁵:

¹⁵ Note that a constant term in a difference equation implies a trend in the level variable.

$$\begin{split} \Delta emp_{ii} &= \alpha_{i1} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_{j} \Delta emp_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \beta_{j} er_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} pr_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} wage_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} \Delta hp_{i,i-j} + \varepsilon_{ii1} \\ \Delta hp_{ii} &= \alpha_{i2} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_{j} \Delta emp_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \beta_{j} er_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} pr_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} wage_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} \Delta hp_{i,i-j} + \varepsilon_{ii2} \\ wage_{ii} &= \alpha_{i3} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_{j} \Delta emp_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \beta_{j} er_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} pr_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} wage_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \gamma_{j} \Delta hp_{i,i-j} + \varepsilon_{ii3} \\ er_{ii} &= \alpha_{i4} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_{j} \Delta emp_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \beta_{j} er_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} pr_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} wage_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \gamma_{j} \Delta hp_{i,i-j} + \varepsilon_{ii4} \\ pr_{ii} &= \alpha_{i5} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \alpha_{j} \Delta emp_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \beta_{j} er_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} pr_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j} wage_{i,i-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \gamma_{j} \Delta hp_{i,i-j} + \varepsilon_{ii4} \\ \end{split}$$

where i denotes region, and t denotes time.

The panel structure of the data is reflected in the inclusion of region-specific intercepts in each equation. Slope coefficients are constrained to be constant across regions.

5 Results

The panel VAR is estimated by seemingly unrelated regression (SUR). We report the impulse response functions of the VAR below. IRF confidence intervals are found by bootstrapping the regression residuals, following Benkwitz et al (2001)¹⁶. The VAR coefficients are contained in Appendix 5.

We present the IRFs for employment, employment rate, participation rate, wages, and migration, displayed in levels. As the rate variables enter the VAR in logarithmic form it is necessary to convert the rate variable IRFs into percentage change form using the following transformations. For participation rate, $d(\frac{L}{WP}) = \frac{L}{WP} d(\ln \frac{L}{WP})$, where $\frac{L}{WP}$ is the average participation rate (labour force over working age population) across the panel. For employment rate, the transformation is $d(\frac{E}{L}) = \frac{E}{L} d(\ln \frac{E}{L})$, where $\frac{E}{L}$ is the mean panel employment

¹⁶ From the initial estimation of the model we create a set of re-centred residuals for each region. We draw a bootstrapped sample of residuals with replacement from this set, by region. We then recursively regenerate the data series period-by-period using the initial VAR coefficient estimates, treating the first four (number of lags in the model) observations as exogenous. We then re-estimate the VAR to gain new estimation parameters, and use these parameters to compute the IRFs. This process is repeated 1000 times to find a 95% bootstrap confidence interval for the IRFs.

rate (employment over labour force)¹⁷. The migration IRF is derived as a transformation of the IRFs for the other labour market variables, using $d \ln(WP) = d \ln(E) - d \ln(ER) - d \ln(PR)$ ¹⁸. No additional transformations are necessary (once the IRF has been converted into levels, if the variable is estimated in differences) for house prices, employment, and wages.

5.1 5 variable VAR: Shock to employment

Figure 5 shows the IRFs for the average region from a shock to employment. This region-specific shock could be a new factory or new business opening in one region, or a change in demand for locally produced goods. The IRF coefficients are summarized in Table 6. A 1% positive shock to employment causes a contemporaneous positive response of 0.05% to the employment rate, a 0.14% positive response to the participation rate, and a 0.75% positive response to working age population due to migration. The contemporaneous impact on wage and house price is negligible. Employment has a unit root, so temporary shocks can cause permanent effects. In this case, a 1% employment shock slowly subsides, but causes long-run employment to be 0.48% higher than it would have been without the shock. The migration response is strongest the period of the shock, and then also recedes. In the long run, working age population is 0.48% higher than in the absence of the shock, matching the growth rate of employment. There is a very small impact on house prices: an employment shock has the largest effect on house prices five periods after the initial shock, where house prices are 0.03% higher than what they would have been without the employment shock. In the long run, a 1% employment shock causes house prices to be 0.02%higher.

 $^{^{17} \}frac{L}{WP}$ is 0.78 and $\frac{E}{L}$ is 0.94, found by averaging across panel and time.

¹⁸ That is, for the impulse response functions: $mig_irf = emp_irf - er_irf - pr_irf$



Figure 5: IRF from a positive 1% employment shock

Table 5: Summary of IRF coefficients

Periods after		1	2	5	10	15	20	20	40
SHOCK	0	1	2	5	10	15	20	30	40
emp	1.000	0.724	0.619	0.550	0.506	0.491	0.485	0.482	0.481
wage	-0.002	0.012	-0.004	0.009	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
er	0.057	0.041	0.013	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
pr	0.142	0.067	0.049	0.032	0.012	0.005	0.002	0.000	0.000
hp	0.021	0.025	0.024	0.039	0.037	0.032	0.030	0.029	0.029
migration	0.758	0.594	0.542	0.500	0.491	0.485	0.483	0.482	0.481

Table 6 presents the implied population impact of the shocks, to convey the magnitudes of the impulse responses. We recast the IRF coefficients to show the response to an employment shock that creates 100 new jobs in a region. In the initial period, the 100 new jobs are filled by 75 migrants into the region, 6 individuals who would have otherwise been unemployed, and 18 individuals who enter the labour force. The total working age population of the region grows by 104 people, but not all of these people become employed: 23 are expected to not be in the labour force (for example, non-working partners), and 5 are expected to be unemployed when they arrive. As the region adjusts over time, the employment rate and participation rate return to their pre-shock levels – a consequence of their stationarity. Some positive benefits of the shock remain: six years after the shock there are 48 more jobs than without the shock. The long-run adjustment process is accounted for entirely by migration into the region. There is some migration out of the region after the initial inflow, causing the net change to the working age population to be 66 people, 48 of whom are working, 3 unemployed, and 15 not in the labour force.

Regional shock to employment	Initial quarter	1 quarter after	1 year after	4 years after	6 years after
A. Net impact of change in employment due to:					
Working age population	75	59	48	48	48
Employment rate	6	4	2	0	0
Participation rate	18	9	5	1	0
Employment response to shock	100	72	55	49	4 8
B. Migration's impact on:					
Employment	75	59	48	48	48
Unemployment	5	4	3	3	3
Non-labour force participants	23	18	15	15	15
Migration response to shock	104	81	66	66	66

Table 6: Implied human impact of the IRF: regional employment shock

This is a story of adjustment due to the movement of people: a new store open or expands in a region, and people move into the region to work. In the initial periods of the shock the labour market behaviours of individuals living in the region change temporarily: people who were not in the labour force may decide to work. People who were unemployed find jobs. As the region adjusts, the beneficial impact of the employment shock recedes, but not completely. In the long-run, approximately half of the extra jobs remain. As participation rates and unemployment rates return to their long-run values, the extra jobs are filled by migrants who move into the region. The working age population also adjusts during this time: initially, many people move to the region, but as the positive employment effect subsides people move out of the region again. The region is left with a net gain in working age population, but not all of these new migrants are employed: some are not in the labour force, and some are unemployed.

As a robustness check on the sensitivity of the results to the specification, we have estimated the VAR with working age population explicitly included in the system. The results are unchanged.

The lack of a regional house price response provides an interesting paradox. The adjustment process to a region-specific employment shock involves migration into the region, which could be expected to cause an increase in demand for housing, yet we do not see house prices rise materially in response to this increase in demand. A 1% region-specific employment shock causes house prices to rise by only 0.02% in the long run. This is in contrast to the relationship observed at the national level, where a 1% employment shock causes house prices to rise by almost 6%.

There are four possible explanations for this result. We deal with the first three here and a fourth in the next section. The first is that the housing market is to some extent a national market. If national trends determine local house prices, we would not expect to see a house price response to a region-specific shock. This may be a partial explanation for our results. As the region-specific house price series displayed a unit root, regional house prices need not fully revert to the national mean. However, there may be partial reversion to national prices. An example of such national pricing is evident in regions that are attractive to investors, such as South Waikato, which has high prospective rental yields and higher rates of foreign ownership than other regions (RBNZ (2007)). The second possibility is that housing market effects may be more localized than the regions we use. For example, an employment shock such as a new factory in one specific part of a region may affect house prices only in the immediate vicinity, and not throughout the region as a whole. If this were the case, then our regions may be too large to adequately capture the localized housing market effects. The third explanation is that the relative sampling error in our data is high. Our data are survey data and normal volatility due to sampling error is accentuated by changes in sampling processes during the sample period.

6 A VECM model?

A fourth explanation for the lack of estimated effects of an employment shock on house prices within our regional VAR is that the dynamics of the two series are not closely related at short time horizons, but a long run relationship between the two may still exist. If this were the case, and if employment and house prices were regionally cointegrated then a vector error correction model (VECM) would be a more appropriate modelling approach. Prior to estimating a VECM, we first test for cointegration between employment and house prices.

Both employment and house price display a unit root. We test for cointegration between these series using a number of cointegration techniques. These are summarised here; details are available on request from the authors. The Kao Panel cointegration test finds evidence of cointegration between the two series. Examining the individual regions, we find evidence of cointegration in four regions out of the fifteen: Northland, Wellington East, Wellington West, and Southland. Performing a principal components decomposition as in Holmes and Grimes (2005) does not find cointegration between employment and house prices, but suggests that there may be a common deterministic time trend between employment and house price.

We are not able to conclude unambiguously that there is a cointegrating relationship between employment and house price from these tests; on balance, the tests seem to reject such a relationship. Nevertheless, we have estimated a vector error correction model by including the cointegrating vector between employment and house price in the model, where the cointegrating vector is obtained by regressing house price on employment and a constant. We then run IRFs for an employment shock as before. The results from adopting this approach do not differ materially from the VAR results presented above. We therefore do not discuss these results explicitly here. However, we conclude that the lack of response of house prices to regional employment shocks indicated by our VAR model is not due to the model overlooking a longer run relationship between the two variables.

7 Conclusion

This paper has analysed adjustment to employment shocks in New Zealand at both a national and a regional level. This adjustment story is motivated by the differences in regional fortunes experienced by New Zealand regions. While national employment over the period 1989 to 2006 grew by 34%, the rate of employment growth in North Auckland of 44% was over twice the rate of growth of Southland of 20%.

We model the adjustment process between employment, employment rate, participation rate, wages, and house prices using a panel VAR model. We find that regional shocks are persistent: a region that has a positive employment shock will continue to feel the positive effects of this shock in the future. While we have focussed on positive shocks in this paper, the converse also holds: a region that suffers a negative shock experiences a permanent negative effect from this shock.

Migration is a major adjustment response to employment shocks. Nationally, a 1% positive employment shock leads to a long-run level of employment 1.3% higher than in the absence of the shock, with approximately half of these extra jobs filled by migrants. At the regional level, a 1% regionspecific shock causes the long-run regional share of employment to be 0.5% higher, with the adjustment to the employment shock entirely explained by migration into the region in the long-run.

An innovation in this paper was including house prices in the adjustment response. A priori, we expect that an upward sloping supply curve will cause house prices to rise in the face of increased employment. We find evidence of this at the national level: a 1% increase in employment causes house prices to rise by 6%. However, we do not find evidence of house price adjustment in response to region-specific employment shocks. We offer three explanations for this paradox: housing prices may be partially determined by a national housing market, housing market adjustment may occur in more localised areas than the ones that we use, or the volatility present in our data series may mean sampling error is clouding our results. A fourth explanation, that house prices and employment are cointegrated, does not appear to hold, and even if they were cointegrated, our results are robust to incorporating this effect.

References

- Benkwitz, Alexander; Helmut Lutkepohl and Jurgen Wolters. 2001. "Comparison of Bootstrap Confidence Intervals for Impulse Responses of German Monetary Systems," *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, 5, pp. 81-100.
- Blanchard, Olivier J. and Lawrence F. Katz. 1992. "Regional Evolutions," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1, pp. 1-75.
- Choy, Wai-Kin; David C. Maré and Peter Mawson. 2002. "Modelling Regional Labour Market Adjustment in New Zealand," *Treasury Working Paper* 02/01, The Treasury, Wellington. Available online at <u>www.treasury.govt.nz/workingpapers/2002/twp02-01.pdf</u>. Last accessed 29 July 2005.
- Debelle, Guy and James Vickery. 1999. "Labour Market Adjustment: Evidence on Interstate Labour Mobility," *Australian Economic Review*, 32:3, pp. 249-63.
- Decressin, Jorg and Antonio Fatas. 1995. "Regional Labour Market Dynamics in Europe," *European Economic Review*, 39:9, pp. 1627-55.
- Fredriksson, Peter. 1999. "The Dynamics of Regional Labor Markets and Active Labor Market Policy: Swedish Evidence," Oxford Economic Papers, 51:4, pp. 623-48.
- Grimes, Arthur and Andrew Aitken. 2004. "What's the Beef With House Prices?: Economic Shocks and Local Housing Markets," *Motu Working Paper 04-08*, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, Wellington, New Zealand. Available online at <u>http://www.motu.org.nz/motu_wp_series.htm</u>.
- Grimes, Arthur; David C. Maré and Melanie Morten. 2006. "Defining Areas: Linking Geographic Data in New Zealand," *Motu Working Paper 06-07*, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, Wellington, New Zealand. Available online at <u>http://www.motu.org.nz/motu_wp_series.htm</u>.
- Holmes, Mark J. and Arthur Grimes. 2005. "Is There Long-Run Convergence of Regional House Prices in the UK?," *Motu Working Paper 05-11*, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, Wellington, New Zealand. Available online at <u>http://www.motu.org.nz/motu_wp_series.htm</u>.
- Love, Inessa and Lea Zicchino. 2002. "Financial Development and Dynamic Investment Behavior: Evidence From Panel Vector Autoregression," World Bank Policy Research Working Paper.
- Mare, David C. and Jason Timmins. 2003. "Moving to Jobs?," *Motu Working Paper 03-07*, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, Wellington, New Zealand. Available online at <u>http://www.motu.org.nz/motu_wp_series.htm</u>.

- Mauro, Paolo and Antonio Spilimbergo. 1998. "How Do the Skilled and the Unskilled Respond to Regional Shocks? The Case of Spain," *IMF Working Paper WP/98/77*, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- Meen, Geoffrey P. 2001. *Modelling Spatial Housing Markets: Theory, Analysis and Policy,* Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- RBNZ. 2004. "Asset Prices and Monetary Policy," Address to the Canterbury Employers' Chamber of Commerce. Available online at <u>http://www.rbnz.govt.nz/speeches/0145812.html</u>.
- RBNZ. 2007. "Monetary Policy Statement," June 2007. Available online at <u>http://www.rbnz.govt.nz/monpol/statements/jun07.pdf</u>.

Appendices

- Appendix 1: Composition of Motu areas from TAs
- Appendix 2: Individual Unit root tests
- Appendix 3: Panel Unit root tests
- Appendix 4: Cholesky decomposition matrices
- Appendix 5: 5 variable VAR coefficients

Territorial			
Authority	Territorial Authority name	Motu Area	Motu Area name
1	Far North District	1	Northland
2	Whangarei District	1	Northland
3	Kaipara District	1	Northland
4	Rodney District	2	North Auckland
5	North Shore City	2	North Auckland
6	Waitakere City	2	North Auckland
7	Auckland City	3	Auckland City
8	Manukau City	4	South Auckland
9	Papakura District	4	South Auckland
10	Franklin District	4	South Auckland
11	Thames-Coromandel District	5	Waikato
12	Hauraki District	5	Waikato
13	Waikato District	5	Waikato
15	Matamata-Piako District	5	Waikato
16	Hamilton City	5	Waikato
17	Waipa District	5	Waikato
18	Otorohanga District	5	Waikato
19	South Waikato District	5	Waikato
20	Waitomo District	5	Waikato
21	Taupo District	5	Waikato
22	Western Bay of Plenty Distri	6	BOP
23	Tauranga District	6	BOP
24	Rotorua District	6	BOP
25	Whakatane District	6	BOP
26	Kawerau District	6	BOP
27	Opotiki District	6	BOP
28	Gisborne District	7	Gisb/Hawkes
29	Wairoa District	7	Gisb/Hawkes
30	Hastings District	7	Gisb/Hawkes
31	Napier City	7	Gisb/Hawkes
32	Central Hawke's Bay District	7	Gisb/Hawkes
33	New Plymouth District	8	Taranaki
34	Stratford District	8	Taranaki
35	South Taranaki District	8	Taranaki
36	Ruapehu District	9	Manawatu
37	Wanganui District	9	Manawatu
38	Rangitikei District	9	Manawatu
39	Manawatu District	9	Manawatu
40	Palmerston North City	9	Manawatu
41	Tararua District	9	Manawatu
42	Horowhenua District	9	Manawatu
43	Kapiti Coast District	10	Wellington West
44	Porirua City	10	Wellington West
45	Upper Hutt City	11	Wellington East
46	Lower Hutt City	11	Wellington East

Appendix 1: TA components of Motu areas

Territorial			
Authority	Territorial Authority name	Motu Area	Motu Area name
47	Wellington City	10	Wellington West
48	Masterton District	11	Wellington East
49	Carterton District	11	Wellington East
50	South Wairarapa District	11	Wellington East
51	Tasman District	12	NTWC
52	Nelson City	12	NTWC
53	Marlborough District	12	NTWC
54	Kaikoura District	13	Canterbury
55	Buller District	12	NTWC
56	Grey District	12	NTWC
57	Westland District	12	NTWC
58	Hurunui District	13	Canterbury
59	Waimakariri District	13	Canterbury
60	Christchurch City	13	Canterbury
61	Banks Peninsula District	13	Canterbury
62	Selwyn District	13	Canterbury
63	Ashburton District	13	Canterbury
64	Timaru District	13	Canterbury
65	Mackenzie District	13	Canterbury
66	Waimate District	13	Canterbury
68	Waitaki District	14	Otago
69	Central Otago District	14	Otago
70	Queenstown-Lakes District	14	Otago
71	Dunedin City	14	Otago
72	Clutha District	14	Otago
73	Southland District	15	Southland
74	Gore District	15	Southland
75	Invercargill City	15	Southland

			ADF		РР	
			Null: U	Init root	Null: U	Init root
Region	Region name	Variable	Trend	No trend	Trend	No trend
1	Northland	emp	-5.6135***	-5.1133***	-5.155***	-5.6801***
2	North Auckland	emp	-3.1298	-2.8184*	-2.6598	-3.0221**
3	Auckland City	emp	-2.3233	-2.3363	-2.4961	-2.5411
4	South Auckland	emp	-3.8555**	-3.02**	-2.8131	-3.713***
5	Waikato	emp	-3.6359**	-0.8295	-0.8423	-3.6643***
6	BOP	emp	-3.3323*	-3.2411**	-3.2471*	-3.3293**
7	Gisb/Hawkes	emp	-3.9618**	-2.8388*	-2.7101	-4.0206***
8	Taranaki	emp	-5.4018***	-4.3967***	-4.3503***	-5.4644***
9	Manawatu	emp	-2.5293	-1.9992	-1.8464	-2.4264
10	Wellington West	emp	-3.4565*	-3.2503**	-3.0643	-3.3414**
11	Wellington East	emp	-5.9913***	-5.3175***	-5.4117***	-6.1114***
12	NTWC	emp	-3.161	-2.8138*	-2.7978	-3.2233**
13	Canterbury	emp	-2.8307	-0.5551	-0.241	-2.7075*
14	Otago	emp	-2.7786	-2.1045	-1.7148	-2.5156
15	Southland	emp	-3 4696**	-2.8177*	-2.5724	-3 3126**
13	Northland	d emn	-12 6788***	-12 7526***	<u>-13 7922***</u>	-13 7097***
2	North Auckland	d_emn	-12.0700	11.0973***	-11.4536***	-11 597***
3	Auckland City	d_emn	-10.2748***	10 2706***	-10.2168***	10 2313***
4	South Auckland	d_emn	-10.2740	10.2700	-11.0262***	10.2515
5	Waikato	d_emn	-10.300 4 -8 01//***	8 8537***	-8 8000***	-10.2007
6	ROP	d_emn	-0.51++	-9.6718***	-0.8505	-0.2005
7	Gish/Hawkes	d_emn	-9.021 -10 7791***	10 8273***	-11 0569***	-11 0053***
8	Taranaki	d_emn	-10.7791 -11 335***	11/10/***	-11.0505	-12.0877***
0	Manawatu	d_emn	10 1865***	10 246***	10 3572***	10 2008***
10	Wallington West	d_emn	11 277***	11 3107***	11 8016***	11 0000***
10	Wellington East	d_emp	-11.277 171131***	14 207***	15 1000***	1/ 0076***
12	NTWC	d_emp	0.6076***	0 7/18***	0.8166***	0 7718***
12	Canterbury	d_emn	-9.0970 0.811/***	0 6662***	0.8161***	10 0/3***
13	Otago	d_emp	12 0764***	13 030***	12 2517***	12 2182***
15	Southland	d_omn	10 1769***	10 2452***	11 1400***	11 0720***
13	Southand	u_emp	-10.1/08***	-10.2432***	5 1505***	-11.0/39***
	North Analdand	or	-5.1002***	2 076***	2 7502**	-3.1332***
	Avaluland City	CI	4 7910***	4 9099***	4 901 (***	4.452/***
3	Auckland City	or	-4./019***	-4.0000	-4.8010***	-4./000***
4	Woikato	or	-3.423/*	7 000/***	-3.241 [*]	7 2 4 2 9 * * *
		or	5 662***	5 600***	5 6500***	5 6225***
	DUP Cish/Howless	or	7 2088***	7 0615***	7 1092***	-3.0323***
/	UISU/ Hawkes	CI or	5 2247***	1 9645***	4 9116***	-/.43/2*** 5 1010***
8	1 arallaki Monowety	or	-J.234/***	6 1720***	6 2642***	6 2224***
10	Wallington West	or	4 5726***	2 0227***	-0.2043***	4 5226***
10	Wellington Feet	or	4.3/20****	4 1122***	4 1077***	4.3330***
11	WEIIIIgion East	or	-4.4044 5 5757***	5 2072***	5 2262***	5 605***
12	IN I WU	ci or	4.0710***	4 0008***	4 02 47***	5 0227***
13	Otago	or	-4.9/19***	2 /20**	-4.934/**** 2.0400	2 0012**
14	Clago Southlond		5 070***	5 4621***	-3.0409	5 060***
15	Southland	er	-3.9/9***	-3.4031***	-3.4053***	-3.909***
I	I	I				

Appendix 2: Individual Unit root tests

		ADF		PP	
		Null: U	Jnit root	Null: U	Jnit root
Region Region name	Variable	Trend	No trend	Trend	No trend
1Northland	d er	-11.5871***	-11.6542***	-13.2658***	-13.1896***
2North Auckland	d er	-10.4421***	-10.4879***	-11.8707***	-11.8528***
3Auckland City	d er	-14.0658***	-14.1107***	-15.8454***	-15.9057***
4South Auckland	d er	-10.4336***	-10.4977***	-11.5349***	-11.4648***
5Waikato	d er	-14.2819***	-14.3697***	-18.6619***	-18.522***
6BOP	d er	-12.032***	-12.0878***	-14.5741***	-14.5938***
7Gisb/Hawkes	d er	-14.1522***	-14.2454***	-17.7309***	-17.5815***
8Taranaki	d er	-11.2283***	-11.2903***	-12.2244***	-12.1452***
9Manawatu	d er	-13.9689***	-14.0308***	-15.9658***	-15.9272***
10Wellington West	d er	-13.0087***	-13.0742***	-14.1131***	-14.0441***
11Wellington East	d er	-10.6485***	-10.6821***	-11.1621***	-11.1292***
12NTWC	d er	-12.4624***	-12.5429***	-14.2974***	-14.192***
13Canterbury	d er	-15.9193***	-16.0214***	-18.3323***	-18.2071***
14Otago	d er	-14.0894***	-14.1631***	-16.7787***	-16.7624***
15Southland	d er	-11.9138***	-11.9883***	-15.355***	-15.2814***
1Northland	hp	-3.4105*	-3.4082**	-3.2948*	-3.2939**
2North Auckland	hp	-2.7874	-2.5977*	-2.4259	-2.6175*
3Auckland City	hp	-2.2691	-1.6535	-1.525	-2.2275
4South Auckland	hp	-3.4826**	-3.4978**	-3.3182*	-3.3093**
5Waikato	hp	-2.5945	-2.7208*	-2.5785	-2.4366
6BOP	hp	-2.7239	-2.0489	-1.8265	-2.5231
7Gisb/Hawkes	hp	-2.678	-2.6301*	-2.3868	-2.443
8Taranaki	hp	-0.7587	-1.1667	-0.9724	-0.3646
9Manawatu	hp	-1.4444	-1.124	-1.0387	-1.3084
10Wellington West	hp	-1.626	-1.7784	-1.6649	-1.465
11Wellington East	hp	-1.8897	-2.1454	-2.0183	-1.3099
12NTWC	hp	-2.6707	-2.6985*	-2.5647	-2.5347
13Canterbury	hp	-2.1227	-1.8477	-1.768	-2.0601
14Otago	hp	-1.6506	-1.6573	-1.4588	-1.4492
15Southland	hp	-2.3439	-1.5536	-1.1828	-1.9484
1Northland	d hp	-11.6162***	-11.6668***	-12.1856***	-12.1626***
2North Auckland	d hp	-11.1474***	-11.2068***	-11.3731***	-11.3256***
3Auckland City	d hp	-10.2363***	-10.284***	-10.2507***	-10.2153***
4South Auckland	d hp	-13.0281***	-13.1278***	-13.6106***	-13.5026***
5Waikato	d_hp	-10.9927***	-10.9334***	-11.151***	-11.245***
6BOP	d_hp	-11.3277***	-11.374***	-11.7053***	-11.6848***
7Gisb/Hawkes	d_hp	-11.5883***	-11.6781***	-12.1804***	-12.079***
8Taranaki	d_hp	-10.753***	-10.5632***	-10.4504***	-10.6922***
9Manawatu	d_hp	-10.3107***	-10.2741***	-10.223***	-10.2924***
10Wellington West	d hp	- 9.4136***	-9.3636***	-9.4774***	-9.568***
11Wellington East	d_hp	-11.3876***	-11.2024***	-12.3197***	-13.1436***
12NTWC	d_hp	-10.2***	-10.2863***	-10.5195***	-10.422***
13Canterbury	d_hp	-10.6034***	-10.6848***	-10.4886***	-10.4138***
14Otago	d_hp	-10.2961***	-10.3666***	-10.4337***	-10.3683***
15Southland	d_hp	-10.5083***	-10.5898***	-11.673***	-11.5681***

			ADF		РР	
			Null: U	Jnit root	Null: U	Jnit root
Region	Region name	Variable	Trend	No trend	Trend	No trend
1	Northland	pr	-4.1508***	-4.1486***	-4.0806**	-4.0821***
2	North Auckland	pr	-4.6362***	-2.8724*	-2.5659	-4.553***
3	Auckland City	pr	-5.2424***	-2.7976*	-2.3682	-5.1831***
4	South Auckland	pr	-3.7599**	-3.0629**	-3.0827	-3.8965***
5	Waikato	pr	-3.6267**	-3.5131**	-3.3914*	-3.5442***
6	BOP	pr	-4.5998***	-3.8071***	-3.6211**	-4.5519***
7	Gisb/Hawkes	pr	-4.8729***	-3.8438***	-3.6841**	-4.7667***
8	Taranaki	pr	-5.3679***	-4.3291***	-4.2949***	-5.4955***
9	Manawatu	pr	-4.6476***	-4.5863***	-4.5004***	-4.6037***
10	Wellington West	br	-4.3903***	-3.4801**	-3.2677*	-4.3669***
11	Wellington East	br	-5.6452***	-5.1986***	-5.2642***	-5.7667***
12	NTWC	br	-3.4192*	-2.9899**	-2.7397	-3.2467**
13	Canterbury	pr	-5.0773***	-2.2478	-1.9948	-5.0613***
14	Otago	pr	-5.761***	-5.1761***	-5.0793***	-5.6477***
15	Southland	nr	-6 4229***	-5 408***	-5 1363***	-6 2552***
13	Northland	d pr	13 5066***	13 5003***	1/ 0150***	1/ 8738***
2	North Auckland	d_pr	12.3000	12.3903	12 5/2***	13 5562***
2	Auckland City	d_pr	12.4125	10 2088***	1/ 0533***	13 0486***
1	South Auckland	d_pr	-12.2474 _0 5///?***	-12.5200	-9 6682***	-15.5480
5	Waikato	d_pr	-7.5 442 -11 0/05***	12 0233***	-9.0002 -12 /078***	-12 3320***
6	ROP	d_pr	-11.9 4 95 -12 57/1***	12.0233	-1/ 3103***	-12.3327 -14.9476***
7	Gish/Hawkes	d_pr	-12.3741	11 5/3***	-17 9551***	-13 1205***
8	Taranaki	d_pr	-13.9657***	1/ 0/96***	-12.9331	-17.1205
0	Manawatu	d_pr	-13.9037 -13.918***	12 0825***	-14.3870	-17.7900
10	Wellington West	d_pr	-12.910	12.9025	-13 6041***	-13 5348***
11	Wellington Fast	d_pr	-13 6803***	-13 7477***	-14 3182***	-14 2359***
12	NTWC	d_pr	-11 1079***	-11 1038***	-11 4779***	-11 5195***
13	Canterbury	d_pr	-10 2959***	-10 3557***	-10 6875***	-10 6176***
14	Otago	d_pr	-11 5838***	-11 6446***	-14 213***	-14 1853***
15	Southland	d_pr	-12 4372***	-12 4653***	-15 58***	-15 7174***
13	Northland		<u>5 0602***</u>	4 200/***	4 2450***	5 0802***
2	North Auckland	wage	-5.0002 1 7165***	-4.3994 2 7/33*	-4.5459 2.5263	-5.0895
2	Auckland City	wage	4.7105	2.7433	2.5205	4 8264***
1	South Auckland	wage	-4.9939 5 5478***	2 0106**	-3.312	-4.8204 5.4861***
5	Waikato	wage	-3.3478 3.7728**	2.9190	2 5701	3 6656***
6	ROP	wage	-4 6059***	1 3628	-2.3701	-3.0050 -4.436***
7	Gish/Hawkas	wage	4.0039	-1.3028 4.6020***	-1.0308 17310***	4.430
8	Taranaki	wage	-4.0104 -4.1508***	3 9/8/***	-3.0/12**	-4.1476***
0	Manawatu	wage	-3 3386*	2 6232*	-2 3263	-3 2085**
10	Wellington West	wage	-3.685**	2.0232	-2.3203	-3.62085
10	Wellington Fast	wage	2 985	2.0927	2.8290	-3.029 2.8187*
12	NTWC	wage	-2.985 5 8764***	-2.7903 5 8768***	-2.0098 5 003***	-2.8187 5 8508***
12	Canterbury	wage	-4 845***	4 9715***	4 8615***	4 71/2***
13	Otago	wage	-4 7055***	-4 2538***	-4 1056***	-4 5691***
14	Southland	wage	-7 3976***	-5 1901***	4.1050	-8 0203***
13	ooumanu	wage	-1.3910	-3.1901		-0.0295
1	1	1				

		A	DF	F	PP	
		Null: U	Jnit root	Null: U	Null: Unit root	
Region Region name	Variable	Trend	No trend	Trend	No trend	
1Northland	d_wage	-12.0081***	-12.1437***	-13.798***	-13.6057***	
2North Auckland	d_wage	-10.9926***	-11.0477***	-11.5287***	-11.4943***	
3Auckland City	d_wage	-10.1769***	-10.0963***	-12.6404***	-13.4097***	
4South Auckland	d_wage	-11.4134***	-11.4956***	-13.0452***	-12.9379***	
5Waikato	d_wage	-12.6057***	-12.679***	-14.3438***	-14.3665***	
6BOP	d_wage	-9.8654***	-9.947***	-11.484***	-11.3688***	
7Gisb/Hawkes	d_wage	-10.3694***	-10.3725***	-11.2411***	-11.2997***	
8Taranaki	d_wage	-8.554***	-8.6187***	-8.925***	-8.8477***	
9Manawatu	d_wage	-11.3713***	-11.367***	-11.9733***	-12.1672***	
10Wellington West	d_wage	-8.139***	-8.069***	-8.1188***	-8.2117***	
11 Wellington East	d_wage	-10.659***	-10.6579***	-11.3424***	-11.4532***	
12NTWC	d_wage	-12.2831***	-12.3797***	-14.2989***	-14.1726***	
13Canterbury	d_wage	-9.8949***	-9.9035***	-11.1509***	-11.1828***	
14Otago	d_wage	-9.0913***	-9.0852***	-11.0307***	-11.1462***	
15Southland	d_wage	-8.9108***	-8.9386***	-13.4231***	-13.4247***	

Highlighted cells indicate that the series is stationary (ie the null is rejected with at least 10% level of significance)

	Im-Pesarar	ı-Shin	Hadri		
	Null: Unit	root	Null: Stationarity		
	constant & trend	constant	Trend	No trend	
emp	-1.9452	-2.5692***	79.0704***	46.4304***	
d_emp	-4.8706***	-4.9335***	-2.5899	-3.4688	
er	-2.4202	<mark>-2.6034***</mark>	18.2836***	30.9472***	
d_er	-5.0642***	-5.06***	-3.7756	-4.8915	
hp	-1.935	-1.918**	55.2716***	61.3276***	
d_hp	-2.8681***	-2.9454***	-1.7918	-0.0992	
pr	-2.0502	<mark>-2.8897***</mark>	63.3726***	33.66***	
d_pr	-4.7556***	-4.7591***	-3.5918	-4.6722	
wage	-1.8088	-2.506 <mark>4***</mark>	67.612***	24.5026***	
d_wage	-4.3629***	-4.4099***	-2.8879	-4.0469	

Appendix 3: Panel unit root tests

* indicates significance at 10% level, ** indicates significance at 5% level, *** indicates significance at 1% level

Highlighted series suggest the series is stationary, at the 10% level

Appendix 4: Cholesky decomposition of residuals

Table 1: Ordering: emp, hp, wage, er, pr

	r_emp	r_hp	r	wage r	_er 1	_pr
r_emp	0.	01	0	0	0	0
r_hp	8.451E-	05 0.0	0097859	0	0	0
r_wage	-3.011E-	05 0.0	0001484	0.0026638	0	0
r_er	0.00060	59 6.:	521E-05	8.235E-05	0.0023679	0
r_pr	0.00182	24 -0.0	0000443	0.0001701	-0.0006237	0.0034603

Table 2: Ordering: hp, emp, wage, er, pr

	r_hp	r	emp	r	_wage 1	_er	r_pr
r_hp		0.01		0	0	0	0
r_emp		8.824E-05	0.010	218	0	0	0
r_wage		0.0001514	-3.207E	-05	0.002722	0	0
r_er		7.198E-05	0.0006	185	8.415E-05	0.0024196	0
r pr		-2.919E-05	0.0018	625	0.0001739	-0.0006373	0.0035358

Table 3: Ordering: er, pr, emp, wage, hp

	r_er	r_pr	1	_emp 1	_wage	r_hp
r_er		0.01	0	0	0	0
r_pr	-	0.0006043	0.0161926	0	0	0
r_emp		0.0101229	0.0191825	0.0346471	0	0
r_wage		0.0003522	0.0004174	-0.0004792	0.0108819	0
r_hp		0.0011518	-0.0002455	0.0002069	0.0022077	0.0399237

Table 4: Ordering: pr, emp, er, wage, hp

	r_pr	r	emp	r	_er r	wage i	r_hp
r_pr		0.01		0	0	0	0
r_emp		0.011597	0.022	4025	0	0	0
r_er	_(0.0002301	0.001	8401	0.0058862	0	0
r_wage		0.0002493	-0.000	2146	0.0003047	0.0067156	0
r_hp	_(0.0001779	0.000	3321	0.0006345	0.0013625	0.0246384

The tables list the residuals from each of the five VAR equations, where er is employment rate, pr is participation rate, emp is employment, wage is wage, hp is house price. All variables are regionally log differenced. Employment and house prices are differenced.

Note: This was calculated by converting the panel structure where each error vector (from each equation in the VAR) is (15x1). n is the number of panels (i.e. n=15)

$$u'u = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} u_1'u_1 & \dots & u_1'u_5 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_5'u_1 & \dots & u_5'u_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Appendix 5: VAR coefficients

Seemingly unrelated regression

hpeqn960360.03930.163187.010preqn960370.01410.81474221.860Coef.Std. Err.zP>z[95% Conf Interval]empeqnemp110.0378-6.320-0.3127-0.1646L20.15170.0390-3.890-0.2282-0.0752L30.09890.0398-2.490.013-0.09840.0335err110.34790.1365-2.550.011-0.6154-0.0804L2.0.15010.14371.040.297-0.13170.4318L30.14370.1418-1.010.311-0.42150.1341L4.0.04410.12690.350.728-0.20460.2929pr	Equation empeqn eregn	Obs 960 960	Pa	arms 35 37	RMSE 0.0402 0.0095	R-sq 0.1096 0.6672	chi2 118.19 1924.28	P 0 0
prequ 960 37 0.0141 0.8147 4221.86 0 wagecqn 960 37 0.0107 0.9832 56110.82 0 coc Std. Err. z P>z [95% Conf Interval] 0 emp - -0.1517 0.0398 -6.32 0 -0.3127 -0.1646 L2. -0.1517 0.0398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L4. -0.0325 0.0337 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er - - - - - - - - - 0.0804 L3. -0.1437 0.141 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 - - 0.341 - 0.2837 0.8004 - 2.2929 - - - 0.2837 0.8004 2.236 0.1918 - 2.36 0.1918 - 1.4 -0.167 0.881 -0.2236 0.1815 L3. - <	hpeqn	960		36	0.0393	0.163	187.01	0
wageqn960370.01070.983256110.820Coef.Std. Err.z $P>z$ [95% Conf Interval]empeqnempL10.23870.0378-6.320-0.3127-0.1646L20.15170.0390-3.890-0.2282-0.0752L30.09890.0398-2.490.013-0.1769-0.0209L40.03250.0337-0.970.334-0.09840.0335erL10.43790.14371.040.297-0.13170.4318L30.14370.1418+.1010.311-0.42150.1341L4.0.04410.12690.350.728-0.20460.2929pr	brean	960		37	0.0141	0.8147	4221.86	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	wageedn	960		37	0.0107	0.9832	56110.82	0
Coef.Std. Err.z $P>z$ [95% Conf Interval]empL1. -0.2387 0.0378 -6.32 0 -0.3127 -0.1646 L2. -0.1517 0.0390 -3.89 0 -0.2282 -0.0752 L3. -0.0989 0.0398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L4. -0.0325 0.0337 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 erL1. -0.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3. -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0421 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr 0.1365 0.551 0.215 0.881 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2366 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage 0.0156 0.0157 0.0561 0.426 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hg 0.016		200		57	0.0107	0.0002	00110.02	0
empeqnempL1 -0.2387 0.0378 -6.32 0 -0.3127 -0.1646 L2 -0.1517 0.0390 -3.89 0 -0.2282 -0.0752 L3 -0.0989 0.0398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L4 -0.0325 0.0337 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er -0.0804 L2 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3 -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 prL1. -0.018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2 -0.0270 0.1664 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3 -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.226 0.1617 L4 -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 0.3708 -0.0043 wage 0.0370 -0.0043 L4 -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp 0.0327 0.616 0.587 0.0767 L4 -0.0199 0.327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 iregion_2 0.0360 0.0193 3.0001 0.0		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	$P>_Z$	[95%	Conf Interval]	
empL1. -0.2387 0.0378 -6.32 0 -0.2127 -0.1646 L2. -0.0752 1.00989 0.398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L4. -0.0325 0.037 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er -0.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.044 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3. -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr 0.0270 0.1664 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0180 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2. -0.0270 0.1664 -0.25 0.8 -0.2366 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage -0.2258 0.1617 1.22 -0.2258 0.1617 L2. -0.0229 0.173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hg 1.11 0.0372 0.4285 0.2784 0.0327 L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 <t< td=""><td>empeqn</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	empeqn							
L1. -0.2387 0.0378 -6.32 0 -0.3127 -0.1646 L2. -0.1517 0.0390 -3.89 0 -0.2282 -0.0752 L3. -0.0989 0.0398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L4. -0.0325 0.0377 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er L1. -0.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3. -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L1. -0.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1815 L3. -0.01876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L1. -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1314 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L3. 0.0297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 [region_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 [region_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 [region_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 [region_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 [region_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0016 0.0344 [region_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0016 0.0344 [region_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 [region_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 [region_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 [region_12 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 [region_14 0.0171 0.0031 3.13 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 [region_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.012 0.0554 [region_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	emp							
L20.1517 0.0390 -3.89 0 -0.2282 -0.0752 L30.0989 0.0398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L40.0325 0.0337 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er L10.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L30.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L10.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L20.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L30.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L40.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L10.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L20.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L40.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L40.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Tregion_2 0.0346 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Tregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0214 _Iregion_10 0.0046 0.027 -0.39 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_10 0.0041 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 _Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0188 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 _Iregion_12 0.0357 0.0103 3.13 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 _Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 _Iregion_12 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 _Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 _Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0112 0.0354 _Iregion_12 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	L1.	-0.2387	0.0378	-6.32	0	-0.3127	-0.1646	
L30.0989 0.0398 -2.49 0.013 -0.1769 -0.0209 L40.0325 0.0337 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er L10.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L30.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L10.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L20.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L30.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L40.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L10.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L20.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L40.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L40.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0779 L40.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_1 0.00649 0.027 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.0344 _Iregion_1 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 _Iregion_1 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 _Iregion_1 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 _Iregion_1 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 _Iregion_1 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.055 -0.0511 -0.0092	L2.	-0.1517	0.0390	-3.89	0	-0.2282	-0.0752	
L4. -0.0325 0.0337 -0.97 0.334 -0.0984 0.0335 er L1. -0.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3. -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr - - - 0.0164 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage - - -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.02297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0322 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hyp - - - 0	L3.	-0.0989	0.0398	-2.49	0.013	-0.1769	-0.0209	
er L10.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L30.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L10.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L20.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L30.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L40.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L10.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L20.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L40.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L40.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0443 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_1 0.0049 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1381 _Iregion_1 0.0049 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1381 _Iregion_1 0.0049 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1381 _Iregion_1 0.00549 0.010 2.64 0.0088 0.0068 .00461 _Iregion_1 0.00549 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _Iregion_1 0.00549 0.013 3.13 0.002 0.0113 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	L4.	-0.0325	0.0337	-0.97	0.334	-0.0984	0.0335	
L1. -0.3479 0.1365 -2.55 0.011 -0.6154 -0.0804 L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3. -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L1. -0.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1617 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L1. -0.0682 0.1171 -0.87 -0.7032 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hyp L1. 0.0136 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443	er							
L2. 0.1501 0.1437 1.04 0.297 -0.1317 0.4318 L3. -0.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr 0.0164 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage -0.1682 0.1173 -0.288 0.06171 1.22 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.082 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0327 <	L1.	-0.3479	0.1365	-2.55	0.011	-0.6154	-0.0804	
L30.1437 0.1418 -1.01 0.311 -0.4215 0.1341 L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L10.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L20.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L30.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L40.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L10.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L20.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L40.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L40.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _lregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _lregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _lregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _lregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _lregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _lregion_7 0.0090 0.081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _lregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0248 _lregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 _lregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _lregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 _lregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0573 _lregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 _lregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 _lregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 _lregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	L2.	0.1501	0.1437	1.04	0.297	-0.1317	0.4318	
L4. 0.0441 0.1269 0.35 0.728 -0.2046 0.2929 pr L1. -0.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage U U -0.258 0.1617 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp V V V 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.327 -0.61 0.544	L3.	-0.1437	0.1418	-1.01	0.311	-0.4215	0.1341	
pr L1. -0.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage - - -0.258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp - - - 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _1region_2 0.0360 0.019 3.3	L4.	0.0441	0.1269	0.35	0.728	-0.2046	0.2929	
L1. -0.1018 0.0928 -1.1 0.272 -0.2837 0.0800 L2. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage -1.1 -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp -111 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _1region_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _1region_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _1region_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _1region_6 0.0044	pr							
L2. -0.0270 0.1064 -0.25 0.8 -0.2355 0.1815 L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wageL1. -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hpL1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _1region_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _1region_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0068 0.0248 _1region_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _1region_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _1region_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.016 0.0311 _1region_11 $0.$	L1.	-0.1018	0.0928	-1.1	0.272	-0.2837	0.0800	
L3. -0.0159 0.1060 -0.15 0.881 -0.2236 0.1918 L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L1. -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 </td <td>L2.</td> <td>-0.0270</td> <td>0.1064</td> <td>-0.25</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>-0.2355</td> <td>0.1815</td> <td></td>	L2.	-0.0270	0.1064	-0.25	0.8	-0.2355	0.1815	
L4. -0.1876 0.0935 -2.01 0.045 -0.3708 -0.0043 wage L1. -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp - 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0	L3.	-0.0159	0.1060	-0.15	0.881	-0.2236	0.1918	
wage L1. -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp - 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 <td< td=""><td>L4.</td><td>-0.1876</td><td>0.0935</td><td>-2.01</td><td>0.045</td><td>-0.3708</td><td>-0.0043</td><td></td></td<>	L4.	-0.1876	0.0935	-2.01	0.045	-0.3708	-0.0043	
L1. -0.0682 0.1173 -0.58 0.561 -0.2982 0.1617 L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0232 0.211 _Iregion_8 0.0265 0.100	wage							
L2. -0.2258 0.1341 -1.68 0.092 -0.4886 0.0371 L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp	L1.	-0.0682	0.1173	-0.58	0.561	-0.2982	0.1617	
L3. 0.2297 0.1341 1.71 0.087 -0.0332 0.4926 L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.012	L2.	-0.2258	0.1341	-1.68	0.092	-0.4886	0.0371	
L4. -0.0259 0.1171 -0.22 0.825 -0.2554 0.2037 hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.0088 0.0211	L3.	0.2297	0.1341	1.71	0.087	-0.0332	0.4926	
hp L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88	L4.	-0.0259	0.1171	-0.22	0.825	-0.2554	0.2037	
L1. 0.0136 0.0325 0.42 0.676 -0.0502 0.0774 L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 </td <td>hp</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	hp							
L2. 0.0372 0.0343 1.08 0.278 -0.0301 0.1046 L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 _Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _Iregion_11 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0	L1.	0.0136	0.0325	0.42	0.676	-0.0502	0.0774	
L3. 0.0090 0.0346 0.26 0.794 -0.0587 0.0767 L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.0068 0.0461 _Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 _Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118	L2.	0.0372	0.0343	1.08	0.278	-0.0301	0.1046	
L4. -0.0199 0.0327 -0.61 0.544 -0.0840 0.0443 _Iregion_2 0.0360 0.0109 3.3 0.001 0.0146 0.0574 _Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 _Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 _Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 _Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 _Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 _Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.0088 0.0461 _Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 _Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 _Iregion_11 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 _Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157	L3.	0.0090	0.0346	0.26	0.794	-0.0587	0.0767	
Iregion_20.03600.01093.30.0010.01460.0574Iregion_30.03760.01802.090.0360.00240.0729Iregion_40.02170.01052.070.0390.00110.0423Iregion_50.01640.00921.790.074-0.00160.0344Iregion_60.00810.00771.040.297-0.00710.0232Iregion_70.00900.00811.120.264-0.00680.0248Iregion_80.02650.01002.640.0080.00680.0461Iregion_90.00440.00850.520.606-0.01230.0211Iregion_100.06490.02722.390.0170.01160.1181Iregion_110.03100.01082.880.0040.00990.0521Iregion_120.03150.01003.140.0020.01180.0511Iregion_130.03570.01023.5100.01570.0556Iregion_140.01710.00931.840.066-0.00120.0354Iregion_150.03520.01133.130.0020.01310.0573cons-0.03010.0107-2.820.005-0.0511-0.0092	L4.	-0.0199	0.0327	-0.61	0.544	-0.0840	0.0443	
Iregion_3 0.0376 0.0180 2.09 0.036 0.0024 0.0729 Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0461 Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0354 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 <	Iregion 2	0.0360	0.0109	3.3	0.001	0.0146	0.0574	
Iregion_4 0.0217 0.0105 2.07 0.039 0.0011 0.0423 Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0461 Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002	Iregion 3	0.0376	0.0180	2.09	0.036	0.0024	0.0729	
Iregion_5 0.0164 0.0092 1.79 0.074 -0.0016 0.0344 Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0461 Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 <t< td=""><td>Iregion 4</td><td>0.0217</td><td>0.0105</td><td>2.07</td><td>0.039</td><td>0.0011</td><td>0.0423</td><td></td></t<>	Iregion 4	0.0217	0.0105	2.07	0.039	0.0011	0.0423	
Iregion_6 0.0081 0.0077 1.04 0.297 -0.0071 0.0232 Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0461 Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 5	0.0164	0.0092	1.79	0.074	-0.0016	0.0344	
Iregion_7 0.0090 0.0081 1.12 0.264 -0.0068 0.0248 Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0461 Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 6	0.0081	0.0077	1.04	0.297	-0.0071	0.0232	
Iregion_8 0.0265 0.0100 2.64 0.008 0.0068 0.0461 Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 7	0.0090	0.0081	1.12	0.264	-0.0068	0.0248	
Iregion_9 0.0044 0.0085 0.52 0.606 -0.0123 0.0211 Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 8	0.0265	0.0100	2.64	0.008	0.0068	0.0461	
Iregion_10 0.0649 0.0272 2.39 0.017 0.0116 0.1181 Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 9	0.0044	0.0085	0.52	0.606	-0.0123	0.0211	
Iregion_11 0.0310 0.0108 2.88 0.004 0.0099 0.0521 Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 10	0.0649	0.0272	2.39	0.017	0.0116	0.1181	
Iregion_12 0.0315 0.0100 3.14 0.002 0.0118 0.0511 Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 11	0.0310	0.0108	2.88	0.004	0.0099	0.0521	
Iregion_13 0.0357 0.0102 3.51 0 0.0157 0.0556 Iregion_14 0.0171 0.0093 1.84 0.066 -0.0012 0.0354 Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 12	0.0315	0.0100	3.14	0.002	0.0118	0.0511	
	Iregion 13	0.0357	0.0102	3.51	0	0.0157	0.0556	
Iregion_15 0.0352 0.0113 3.13 0.002 0.0131 0.0573 cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 14	0.0171	0.0093	1.84	0.066	-0.0012	0.0354	
cons -0.0301 0.0107 -2.82 0.005 -0.0511 -0.0092	Iregion 15	0.0352	0.0113	3.13	0.002	0.0131	0.0573	
	cons	-0.0301	0.0107	-2.82	0.005	-0.0511	-0.0092	

	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	$P>_Z$	[95% (Conf Interval]
ereqn						
emp						
	0.0605	0.0076	7.92	0	0.0455	0.0755
L1.	0.0230	0.0091	2.52	0.012	0.0051	0.0409
L2.	0.0210	0.0093	2.26	0.024	0.0028	0.0393
L3.	0.0064	0.0095	0.67	0.5	-0.0122	0.0249
L4.	0.0096	0.0080	1.21	0.227	-0.0060	0.0253
er						
L1.	0.4069	0.0325	12.54	0	0.3433	0.4705
L2.	0.0035	0.0341	0.1	0.918	-0.0633	0.0703
L3.	0.1226	0.0336	3.65	0	0.0567	0.1884
L4.	0.0884	0.0301	2.94	0.003	0.0294	0.1473
pr						
L1.	0.0663	0.0220	3.01	0.003	0.0231	0.1094
L2.	-0.0984	0.0252	-3.9	0	-0.1477	-0.0490
L3.	0.0545	0.0251	2.17	0.03	0.0053	0.1038
L4.	-0.0160	0.0222	-0.72	0.472	-0.0595	0.0275
wage						
L1.	-0.0057	0.0279	-0.21	0.837	-0.0604	0.0489
L2.	-0.0431	0.0318	-1.35	0.176	-0.1055	0.0193
L3.	0.0748	0.0318	2.35	0.019	0.0124	0.1372
L4.	-0.0250	0.0278	-0.9	0.368	-0.0794	0.0294
hp						
	0.0067	0.0078	0.85	0.394	-0.0087	0.0220
L1.	0.0087	0.0082	1.07	0.284	-0.0072	0.0247
L2.	0.0083	0.0082	1.02	0.31	-0.0077	0.0244
L3.	-0.0077	0.0082	-0.93	0.352	-0.0238	0.0085
L4.	-0.0063	0.0079	-0.8	0.425	-0.0219	0.0092
_Iregion_2	0.0170	0.0026	6.52	0	0.0119	0.0221
_Iregion_3	0.0079	0.0043	1.86	0.063	-0.0004	0.0163
_Iregion_4	0.0093	0.0025	3.72	0	0.0044	0.0142
_Iregion_5	0.0112	0.0022	5.12	0	0.0069	0.0154
_Iregion_6	0.0065	0.0018	3.58	0	0.0030	0.0101
_Iregion_7	0.0090	0.0019	4.72	0	0.0053	0.0128
_Iregion_8	0.0117	0.0024	4.9	0	0.0070	0.0164
_Iregion_9	0.0110	0.0020	5.47	0	0.0071	0.0150
_Iregion_10	0.0130	0.0065	2.01	0.044	0.0003	0.0256
_Iregion_11	0.0141	0.0026	5.49	0	0.0090	0.0191
_Iregion_12	0.0157	0.0024	6.59	0	0.0111	0.0204
_Iregion_13	0.0136	0.0024	5.59	0	0.0088	0.0183
_Iregion_14	0.0153	0.0022	6.91	0	0.0109	0.0196
_Iregion_15	0.0166	0.0027	6.21	0	0.0114	0.0219
cons	-0.0119	0.0025	-4.69	0	-0.0169	-0.0069

	Coef.	Coef. Std. Err. $z P > z$		>z	[95% Conf Interval]		
hpeqn							
emp							
	0.0)085	0.0316	0.27	0.789	-0.0535	0.0704
L1.	-0.	0030	0.0377	-0.08	0.937	-0.0769	0.0709
L2.	0.0	0117	0.0385	0.3	0.762	-0.0638	0.0871
L3.	0.0)377	0.0391	0.97	0.334	-0.0388	0.1143
L4.	0.0)427	0.0329	1.3	0.195	-0.0219	0.1073
er							
L1.	0.1	267	0.1340	0.95	0.344	-0.1359	0.3894
L2.	-0.	0519	0.1408	-0.37	0.713	-0.3277	0.2240
L3.	-0.	0561	0.1388	-0.4	0.686	-0.3281	0.2160
L4.	-0.	0515	0.1242	-0.41	0.678	-0.2950	0.1919
pr							
L1.	0.0)332	0.0909	0.37	0.715	-0.1449	0.2113
L2.	0.0)274	0.1041	0.26	0.792	-0.1766	0.2315
L3.	-0.	0704	0.1037	-0.68	0.497	-0.2737	0.1329
L4.	-0.	0085	0.0917	-0.09	0.926	-0.1882	0.1712
wage							
L1.	-0.2	2723	0.1148	-2.37	0.018	-0.4974	-0.0472
L2.	0.0)458	0.1314	0.35	0.727	-0.2118	0.3035
L3.	0.1	1115	0.1315	0.85	0.396	-0.1461	0.3692
L4.	0.0)407	0.1146	0.35	0.723	-0.1839	0.2653
hp							
L1.	-0.	3396	0.0318	-10.66	0	-0.4020	-0.2772
L2.	-0.	1298	0.0336	-3.86	0	-0.1957	-0.0639
L3.	0.1	106	0.0338	3.27	0.001	0.0444	0.1769
L4.	0.2	2099	0.0320	6.55	0	0.1471	0.2727
_Iregion_2	0.0	0023	0.0107	0.22	0.829	-0.0187	0.0234
_Iregion_3	0.0)156	0.0176	0.89	0.376	-0.0189	0.0502
_Iregion_4	0.0)039	0.0103	0.38	0.704	-0.0163	0.0241
_Iregion_5	0.0)036	0.0090	0.4	0.69	-0.0141	0.0212
_Iregion_6	0.0	0032	0.0076	0.42	0.674	-0.0116	0.0180
_Iregion_7	0.0	0021	0.0079	0.27	0.786	-0.0133	0.0176
_Iregion_8	0.0	080	0.0099	0.81	0.419	-0.0113	0.0273
_Iregion_9	-0.	0071	0.0083	-0.85	0.396	-0.0234	0.0092
_Iregion_10	0.0)187	0.0267	0.7	0.483	-0.0336	0.0710
_Iregion_11	-0.	0005	0.0106	-0.05	0.959	-0.0213	0.0202
_Iregion_12	0.0	016	0.0099	0.16	0.874	-0.0177	0.0209
_Iregion_13	0.0	0031	0.0100	0.31	0.755	-0.0165	0.0228
_Iregion_14	0.0	0037	0.0091	0.41	0.684	-0.0142	0.0216
_Iregion_15	-0.	0020	0.0111	-0.18	0.857	-0.0237	0.0197
cons	-0.	0065	0.0105	-0.62	0.538	-0.0270	0.0141

	Coef. Std.		Err. z P>z			[95% Conf Interval]	
preqn							
emp							
	0.1	823	0.0114	16.04	0	0.1600	0.2045
L1.	0.0)348	0.0136	2.57	0.01	0.0083	0.0614
L2.	0.0)217	0.0138	1.56	0.118	-0.0055	0.0488
L3.	-0.	0017	0.0141	-0.12	0.903	-0.0293	0.0258
L4.	0.0	0003	0.0119	0.02	0.98	-0.0230	0.0235
er							
L1.	0.0)622	0.0482	1.29	0.197	-0.0323	0.1568
L2.	-0.	0142	0.0506	-0.28	0.78	-0.1134	0.0851
L3.	-0.	0201	0.0499	-0.4	0.687	-0.1180	0.0778
L4.	0.0)522	0.0447	1.17	0.243	-0.0354	0.1398
pr							
L1.	0.5	5343	0.0327	16.35	0	0.4703	0.5984
L2.	0.1	224	0.0374	3.27	0.001	0.0490	0.1958
L3.	0.0)973	0.0373	2.61	0.009	0.0242	0.1705
L4.	0.0)578	0.0330	1.75	0.08	-0.0069	0.1224
wage							
L1.	-0.	0442	0.0414	-1.07	0.286	-0.1254	0.0370
L2.	-0.	1142	0.0473	-2.41	0.016	-0.2068	-0.0215
L3.	0.1	016	0.0473	2.15	0.032	0.0089	0.1944
L4.	-0.	0470	0.0412	-1.14	0.255	-0.1278	0.0338
hp							
	-0.	0045	0.0116	-0.39	0.697	-0.0273	0.0182
L1.	-0.	0039	0.0121	-0.33	0.745	-0.0277	0.0198
L2.	0.0)095	0.0122	0.78	0.435	-0.0144	0.0334
L3.	-0.	0146	0.0122	-1.19	0.233	-0.0386	0.0094
L4.	0.0	0023	0.0118	0.19	0.849	-0.0208	0.0253
Iregion 2	0.0	078	0.0039	2.02	0.043	0.0002	0.0154
Iregion 3	0.0)211	0.0063	3.32	0.001	0.0086	0.0335
Iregion 4	0.0	075	0.0037	2.02	0.043	0.0002	0.0148
Iregion 5	0.0)086	0.0032	2.67	0.008	0.0023	0.0150
Iregion 6	0.0)044	0.0027	1.63	0.102	-0.0009	0.0098
Iregion 7	0.0	016	0.0028	0.57	0.571	-0.0040	0.0072
Iregion 8	0.0)121	0.0035	3.42	0.001	0.0052	0.0191
Iregion 9	-0.	0037	0.0030	-1.24	0.214	-0.0096	0.0022
Iregion 10	0.0)390	0.0096	4.07	0	0.0202	0.0578
Iregion 11	0.0)102	0.0038	2.69	0.007	0.0028	0.0177
Iregion 12	0.0	073	0.0035	2.07	0.038	0.0004	0.0143
Iregion 13	0 ()097	0.0036	2.7	0.007	0.0027	0.0168
Iregion 14	0.0)051	0.0033	1.55	0.121	-0.0013	0.0116
Iregion 15	0.0)140	0.0040	3 52	0	0.0062	0.0218
cons	-0	0131	0.0038	-3.48	0.001	-0.0205	-0.0057
	0.			20			0.0007

	Coef. Std.		Err. z P>z			[95% Conf Interval]	
wageeqn							
emp							
	-0.	0031	0.0086	-0.37	0.715	-0.0200	0.0137
L1.	0.0	0007	0.0103	0.07	0.945	-0.0194	0.0208
L2.	-0.0076		0.0105	-0.73	0.467	-0.0282	0.0129
L3.	0.0071		0.0106	0.66	0.506	-0.0138	0.0279
L4.	0.0	0.0123		1.37	0.17	-0.0053	0.0299
er							
L1.	-0.	0220	0.0365	-0.6	0.547	-0.0935	0.0496
L2.	-0.	0179	0.0383	-0.47	0.64	-0.0930	0.0572
L3.	0.0)009	0.0378	0.02	0.981	-0.0731	0.0750
L4.	0.0)860	0.0338	2.54	0.011	0.0197	0.1522
pr							
L1.	0.0)705	0.0247	2.85	0.004	0.0220	0.1189
L2.	-0.	0572	0.0283	-2.02	0.044	-0.1127	-0.0017
L3.	-0.	0279	0.0282	-0.99	0.324	-0.0832	0.0275
L4.	0.0	0041	0.0250	0.17	0.869	-0.0448	0.0530
wage							
L1.	0.5	5361	0.0314	17.1	0	0.4747	0.5976
L2.	-0.	0978	0.0358	-2.73	0.006	-0.1680	-0.0277
L3.	0.1	1521	0.0358	4.25	0	0.0819	0.2222
L4.	0.2	2685	0.0312	8.61	0	0.2074	0.3297
hp							
	0.0	0152	0.0088	1.73	0.084	-0.0021	0.0324
L1.	0.0	0074	0.0092	0.81	0.417	-0.0105	0.0254
L2.	0.0	0058	0.0092	0.63	0.527	-0.0122	0.0239
L3.	0.0)006	0.0093	0.06	0.949	-0.0175	0.0187
L4.	-0.	0022	0.0089	-0.25	0.806	-0.0197	0.0153
_Iregion_2	0.0	0012	0.0029	0.4	0.687	-0.0046	0.0069
_Iregion_3	0.0)248	0.0048	5.16	0	0.0154	0.0342
_Iregion_4	0.0	0076	0.0028	2.7	0.007	0.0021	0.0131
_Iregion_5	0.0	0024	0.0025	0.98	0.325	-0.0024	0.0072
_Iregion_6	-0.	0035	0.0021	-1.7	0.09	-0.0075	0.0005
_Iregion_7	-0.	0023	0.0022	-1.05	0.294	-0.0065	0.0020
_Iregion_8	0.0	051	0.0027	1.9	0.058	-0.0002	0.0104
_Iregion_9	-0.	0056	0.0023	-2.45	0.014	-0.0100	-0.0011
_Iregion_10	0.0)370	0.0073	5.1	0	0.0228	0.0513
_Iregion_11	0.0	0032	0.0029	1.13	0.26	-0.0024	0.0089
_Iregion_12	-0.	0038	0.0027	-1.42	0.156	-0.0091	0.0015
_Iregion_13	0.0	0024	0.0027	0.88	0.378	-0.0029	0.0078
_Iregion_14	-0.	0003	0.0025	-0.11	0.915	-0.0051	0.0046
_Iregion_15	0.0	016	0.0030	0.53	0.598	-0.0043	0.0075
cons	-0.	0097	0.0029	-3.41	0.001	-0.0153	-0.0041

Motu Working Paper Series

All papers are available online at <u>http://www.motu.org.nz/motu_wp_series.htm</u> or by contacting Motu Economic and Public Policy Research.

- 07-09 Grimes, Arthur and Yun Liang, "Spatial Determinants of Land Prices in Auckland: Does the Metropolitan Urban Limit Have an Effect?"
- 07-08 Kerr, Suzi; Kit Rutherford and Kelly Lock, "Nutrient Trading in Lake Rotorua: Goals and Trading Caps".
- 07-07 Hendy, Joanna; Suzi Kerr and Troy Baisden, "The Land Use in Rural New Zealand Model Version 1 (LURNZ v1): Model Description".
- 07-06 Lock, Kelly and Suzi Kerr, "Nutrient Trading in Lake Rotorua: Where Are We Now?"
- 07-05 Stillman, Steven and David C. Maré, "The Impact of Immigration on the Geographic Mobility of New Zealanders".
- 07-04 Grimes, Arthur and Yun Liang, "An Auckland Land Value Annual Database".
- 07-03 Kerr, Suzi; Glen Lauder and David Fairman, "Towards Design for a Nutrient Trading Programme to Improve Water Quality in Lake Rotorua".
- 07-02 Lock, Kelly and Stefan Leslie, "New Zealand's Quota Management System: A History of the First 20 Years".
- 07-01 Grimes, Arthur and Andrew Aitken, "House Prices and Rents: Socio-Economic Impacts and Prospects".
- 06-09 Maani, Sholeh A.; Rhema Vaithianathan and Barbara Wolf, "Inequality and Health: Is House Crowding the Link?"
- 06-08 Maré, David C. and Jason Timmins, "Geographic Concentration and Firm Productivity".
- 06-07 Grimes, Arthur; David C. Maré and Melanie Morten, "Defining Areas Linking Geographic Data in New Zealand".
- 06-06 Maré, David C. and Yun Liang, "Labour Market Outcomes for Young Graduates".
- 06-05 Hendy, Joanna and Suzi Kerr, "Land-Use Intensity Module: Land Use in Rural New Zealand Version 1".
- 06-04 Hendy, Joanna; Suzi Kerr and Troy Baisden, "Greenhouse Gas Emissions Charges and Credits on Agricultural Land: What Can a Model Tell Us?"
- 06-03 Hall, Viv B.; C. John McDermott and James Tremewan, "The Ups and Downs of New Zealand House Prices".
- 06-02 McKenzie, David; John Gibson and Steven Stillman, "How Important is Selection? Experimental vs Non-Experimental Measures of the Income Gains from Migration".
- 06-01 Grimes, Arthur and Andrew Aitken, "Housing Supply and Price Adjustment".
- 05-14 Timmins, Jason, "Is Infrastructure Productive? Evaluating the Effects of Specific Infrastructure Projects on Firm Productivity within New Zealand".
- 05-13 Coleman, Andrew; Sylvia Dixon and David C. Maré, "Māori Economic Development— Glimpses from Statistical Sources".
- 05-12 Maré, David C., "Concentration, Specialisation and Agglomeration of Firms in New Zealand".
- 05-11 Holmes, Mark J. and Arthur Grimes, "Is There Long-Run Convergence of Regional House Prices in the UK?"
- 05-10 Hendy, Joanna and Suzi Kerr, "Greenhouse Gas Emission Factor Module: Land Use in Rural New Zealand—Climate Version 1".
- 05-09 Poland, Michelle and David C. Maré, "Defining Geographic Communities".

- 05-08 Kerr, Suzi; Joanna Hendy, Emma Brunton and Isabelle Sin, "The Likely Regional Impacts of an Agricultural Emissions Policy in New Zealand: Preliminary Analysis".
- 05-07 Stillman, Steven, "Examining Changes in the Value of Rural Land in New Zealand between 1989 and 2003".
- 05-06 Dixon, Sylvia and David C. Maré, "Changes in the Māori Income Distribution: Evidence from the Population Census".
- 05-05 Sin, Isabelle and Steven Stillman, "The Geographical Mobility of Māori in New Zealand".
- 05-04 Grimes, Arthur, "Regional and Industry Cycles in Australasia: Implications for a Common Currency".
- 05-03 Grimes, Arthur, "Intra and Inter-Regional Industry Shocks: A New Metric with an Application to Australasian Currency Union".
- 05-02 Grimes, Arthur; Robert Sourell and Andrew Aitken, "Regional Variation in Rental Costs for Larger Households".
- 05-01 Maré, David C., "Indirect Effects of Active Labour Market Policies".
- 04-12 Dixon, Sylvia and David C. Maré, "Understanding Changes in Māori Incomes and Income Inequality 1997–2003".
- 04-11 Grimes, Arthur, "New Zealand: A Typical Australasian Economy?"
- 04-10 Hall, Viv and C. John McDermott, "Regional Business Cycles in New Zealand: Do They Exist? What Might Drive Them?"
- 04-09 Grimes, Arthur; Suzi Kerr and Andrew Aitken, "Bi-Directional Impacts of Economic, Social and Environmental Changes and the New Zealand Housing Market".
- 04-08 Grimes, Arthur and Andrew Aitken, "What's the Beef with House Prices? Economic Shocks and Local Housing Markets".
- 04-07 McMillan, John, "Quantifying Creative Destruction: Entrepreneurship and Productivity in New Zealand".
- 04-06 Maré, David C. and Isabelle Sin, "Māori Incomes: Investigating Differences Between Iwi".
- 04-05 Kerr, Suzi; Emma Brunton and Ralph Chapman, "Policy to Encourage Carbon Sequestration in Plantation Forests".
- 04-04 Maré, David C., "What do Endogenous Growth Models Contribute?"
- 04-03 Kerr, Suzi; Joanna Hendy, Shuguang Liu and Alexander S. P. Pfaff, "Uncertainty and Carbon Policy Integrity".
- 04-02 Grimes, Arthur; Andrew Aitken and Suzi Kerr, "House Price Efficiency: Expectations, Sales, Symmetry".
- 04-01 Kerr, Suzi; Andrew Aitken and Arthur Grimes, "Land Taxes and Revenue Needs as Communities Grow and Decline: Evidence from New Zealand".
- 03-19 Maré, David C., "Ideas for Growth?"
- 03-18 Fabling, Richard and Arthur Grimes, "Insolvency and Economic Development: Regional Variation and Adjustment".
- 03-17 Kerr, Suzi; Susana Cardenas and Joanna Hendy, "Migration and the Environment in the Galapagos: An Analysis of Economic and Policy Incentives Driving Migration, Potential Impacts from Migration Control, and Potential Policies to Reduce Migration Pressure".
- 03-16 Hyslop, Dean R. and David C. Maré, "Understanding New Zealand's Changing Income Distribution 1983–98: A Semiparametric Analysis".
- 03-15 Kerr, Suzi, "Indigenous Forests and Forest Sink Policy in New Zealand".

- 03-14 Hall, Viv and Angela Huang, "Would Adopting the US Dollar Have Led to Improved Inflation, Output and Trade Balances for New Zealand in the 1990s?"
- 03-13 Ballantyne, Suzie; Simon Chapple, David C. Maré and Jason Timmins, "Movement into and out of Child Poverty in New Zealand: Results from the Linked Income Supplement".
- 03-12 Kerr, Suzi, "Efficient Contracts for Carbon Credits from Reforestation Projects".
- 03-11 Lattimore, Ralph, "Long Run Trends in New Zealand Industry Assistance".
- 03-10 Grimes, Arthur, "Economic Growth and the Size & Structure of Government: Implications for New Zealand".
- 03-09 Grimes, Arthur; Suzi Kerr and Andrew Aitken, "Housing and Economic Adjustment".
- 03-07 Maré, David C. and Jason Timmins, "Moving to Jobs".
- 03-06 Kerr, Suzi; Shuguang Liu, Alexander S. P. Pfaff and R. Flint Hughes, "Carbon Dynamics and Land-Use Choices: Building a Regional-Scale Multidisciplinary Model".
- 03-05 Kerr, Suzi, "Motu, Excellence in Economic Research and the Challenges of 'Human Dimensions' Research".
- 03-04 Kerr, Suzi and Catherine Leining, "Joint Implementation in Climate Change Policy".
- 03-03 Gibson, John, "Do Lower Expected Wage Benefits Explain Ethnic Gaps in Job-Related Training? Evidence from New Zealand".
- 03-02 Kerr, Suzi; Richard G. Newell and James N. Sanchirico, "Evaluating the New Zealand Individual Transferable Quota Market for Fisheries Management".
- 03-01 Kerr, Suzi, "Allocating Risks in a Domestic Greenhouse Gas Trading System".